Document Reference	Pre-scoping Question	FDOT Contact
Army Corps permitting Requirements	Removal Limits to Facilitate Future Channel M Dredging – ACOE: If project includes removal structure near the navigational channel, is additionable below the mud line required to facilitate future maintenance dredging? This is especially a conexisting mud-line bascule piers are to be removed costs involved. What are the specific permit redbe conveyed in the RFP?	• Environmental Permits Coordinator tional removal channel neern when wed due to the
 PD&E Manual Part 1, Chapter 12 (old Chapter 10) FDOT Design Manual 251 Structures Detailing Manual 22.2 	.2 Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan: Are the environmental restrictions concerning whether drainage can discharge directly into the waters the specific permit requirements related to brid be conveyed in the RFP?	r bridge • Environmental Permits Coordinator way? What are
 PD&E Manual Part 1, Chapter 12 (old Chapter 10) PD&E Manual Part 2, Chapter 11 PD&E Manual Part 2, Chapter 18, Environmental Document, Wetland Evaluation Report, Biological Assessment, Essential Fish Habitat Assessment 	3 Seagrass Avoidance and Minimization: Are the within or in the vicinity of the project limits (for projects)? Are there turbidity/jetting restriction temporary work platforms required to facilitate in shallow water? Have the permits been acquired be acquired prior to or during the Design build project federally funded? What are the specific requirements to be conveyed in the RFP?	For water ns? Are • Environmental Administrator • Environmental Permits Coordinator • Environmental Pe
 PD&E Manual Part 1, Chapter 12 (old Chapter 10) PD&E Manual Part 2, Chapter 27 	4 Wildlife and Habitat Impacts: Are there endand potentially impacted by the project? How will minimized? What are the specific permit required conveyed in RFP? Review environmental Conthere any blasting restrictions?	 impacts be Environmental Administrator Environmental Permits Coordinator

Document Reference	Pre-scoping Question	FDOT Contact
 PD&E Manual Part 2, Section 17-9 PD&E Manual Part 2, Chapter 30 Soils and Foundations Handbook Section 9.2.4 	E.5 Construction Vibration: Are there adjacent properties that may be subject to damage during construction due to excessive vibrations? If so, provide additional vibration requirements in the RFP beyond what is already covered under Specification 455-1.1 for foundation construction, as necessary. Examples may include laser surgery related businesses, railroad facilities, and historic buildings located close to potential super-pave, pile driving, drilled shaft casing installation, blasting or sheet piling installations.	District Geotechnical Engineer
 PD&E Manual Part 2, Section 17-9 PD&E Manual Part 2, Chapter 30 Soils and Foundations Handbook Section 9.2.4 	E.6 Construction Vibration: Are there adjacent properties that may be subject to damage during construction due to excessive vibrations? If so, provide additional vibration requirements in the RFP beyond what is already covered under Specification 455-1.1 for foundation construction as necessary. Examples may include laser surgery related businesses, railroad facilities, and historic buildings located close to potential super-pave, pile driving, drilled shaft casing installation, blasting or sheet piling installations.	District Geotechnical Engineer

 PD&E Manual Part 2, Chapter 18 PD&E Manual Part 1, Chapter 12 (old Chapter 10) PD&E Manual Part 2, Chapter 27 Environmental Document, Wetland Evaluation Report, Biological Assessment, Essential Fish Habitat Assessment 	E.7	Wetland Avoidance and Minimization: Are there jurisdictional wetlands within the project limits? Are there areas within the R/W limits that the Contractor cannot disturb? How will impacts be minimized? Have the permits been acquired? Will they be acquired prior to or during the Design build phase? Is the project federally funded? What are the specific permit requirements to be conveyed in RFP?	 District Environmental Manager Environmental Administrator Environmental Permits Coordinator
 PD&E Manual Part 2, Chapter 12 FDOT Cultural Resources Handbook Coordination Procedures 	E.8	Archaeological and Historic Sites: Are there archaeological or historic properties impacted by the project? Review environmental commitments –coordinate with SHPO, Coordinate with Native American Tribes (under no circumstances can contractors directly coordinate or speak to Native American Tribes – FDOT has very specific Coordination Procedures). Include specific requirements in the RFP?	District Environmental Manager Environmental Permits Coordinator

 PD&E Manual Part 2, Chapter 22 Environmental Document, Contamination Screening Evaluation Report Structures Design Guidelines 1.5 Existing Hazardous Material 	E.9 Contamination Impacts: Are there contaminated sites or contaminated materials within the project limits? Did any borings retrieve samples with suspect odors? Will location and type of contamination dictate roadway alignments, retention pond placement, or structure versus retaining walls? Address items such as special handling and disposal requirements of drilled shaft or other excavated materials. Clearly indicate the presence of lead-based paint, asbestos, creosote, or other hazardous materials and include requirements in the RFP. (SDG 1.5.A & SDG 1.5.C)	 District Environmental Manager District Contamination Impact Coordinators District Geotechnical Engineer
• PD&E Manual Part 2, Chapter 17 • FDM 264	 E.10 Does the project require noise barriers? If so, attach the Noise Study Report (NSR) to the RFP and include requirements. E.11 Are there specific aesthetic requirements for noise barriers? Depending on flexibility of the project based on public commitments, provide aesthetic requirements including color textures, graphics, absorptive vs. reflective surface, flush vs. recessed panels, etc. in the RFP. (SDM 4.4.A and Standard Plans Instructions Index 534-200) 	District Noise SpecialistDistrict Structures Engineer
• PD&E Manual Part 2, Chapter 17 • FDM 264	 E.12 Does the project require Perimeter Walls? If so and the required wall deviates from Standard Plans Index 534- 250, provide wall details/requirements in the RFP. (FDM 264.3) E.13 Are there specific aesthetic requirements for perimeter walls? Depending on flexibility of the project based on public commitments, provide aesthetic requirements including wall type (precast or masonry), color, textures, anti-graffiti coating, etc. in the RFP. (Standard Plans Instructions Index 534-250) 	District Environmental Manager District Structures Engineer

Structures Design Guidelines	S.1	Horizontal Clearances for Bridges over Navigable	District Environmental Manager
• 1.1 General		Waterways. Provide horizontal clearance as required by the United States Coast Guard (USCG), the Army Corps of Engineers and the Florida Inland Navigation District. (SDG 1.1.3.B.1)	 Environmental Permits Coordinator District Structures Design Engineer
 Structures Design Guidelines 1.3 Environmental Classification	S.2	Environmental Classifications for New Bridges and Bridge Widenings. Provide environmental classifications for all new bridges and bridge widenings. (SDG 1.3.1.A & SDG 1.3.3.A)	District Materials EngineerDistrict Geotechnical Engineer
 Structures Design Guidelines 1.4 Concrete and Environment 	S.3	Reinforcing Elements for Concrete Design. For structural components that require fiber reinforced polymer or stainless-steel reinforcing steel, provide material requirements along with listing of elements and limits. (SDG 1.4.1.B) Reviewer's Note: Higher grades of black rebar greater than grade 60 has not been allowed except for drilled shaft applications. Black reinforcing cannot be used in the vicinity of tolling gantries.	 District Structures Design Engineer District Materials Engineer
Structures Design Guidelines 1.4 Concrete and Environment	S.4	Superstructure Components Located Within the Splash Zone. Where bridge superstructure components are located within the splash zone and when the environmental classification is Extremely Aggressive due to the presence of chloride in the water of a marine environment, contact the State Materials Office for guidance on concrete cover and design mix requirements. (SDG 1.4.3.G.1)	District Structures Design Engineer District Materials Engineer
Structures Design Guidelines 1.4 Concrete and Environment	S.5	Provide requirements for concrete surface finish for all concrete elements. Include limits and other requirements for Class 5 coatings, tints, stains, and anti-graffiti coatings. (SDG 1.4.5)	District Design Engineer

Structures Design Guidelines 1.10 Limitations on Bridge Skew Angle	S.6 Are there any locations within the project limits where bridge supports with skews greater than 60° are required due to geometric constraints such as when supports must be placed within narrow skewed medians of underlying roadways? If so, obtain approval from the Structures Design Office and include requirements in the RFP. (SDG 1.10)	District Structures Design Engineer
Structures Design Guidelines 2.5 Wave Loads	S.7 Bridge Wave Vulnerability – Minimum Wave Crest Height and Wave Loads. For bridges vulnerable to coastal storms, provide minimum bridge height requirements based on wave crest clearance requirement of the Drainage Manual Section 4.9.5. When certain limits of the bridge cannot meet wave crest clearances, specify limits where bridge height may be less than wave crest clearance elevation, specify bridge level of importance, design strategy, and level of analysis. (SDG 2.5 & Drainage Manual Section 4.9.5) Also see Pre-scoping Question D.26.	 State Structures Design Engineer District Structures Design Engineer District Hydraulics Engineer
 Structures Design Guidelines 2.6 Vehicular Collision Force 	 S.8 Are there any non-interstate new grade separated bridges, existing grade separated bridges to remain, or grade separated bridges to be widened that are deemed to be critical for heavy vehicle impact loading? If so, include requirements in the RFP. (SDG 2.6.1.A & 2.6.3.A) S.9 Do any of the new bridges, existing bridge to remain, or bridges to be widened span railroad tracks? If so, include any crash wall requirements in the RFP. (SDG 2.6.7.H) 	District Structures Design Engineer
 Structures Design Guidelines 2.10 Redundancy and Operational Importance FDM 121.9.6 	S.10 Follow the SDG unless the following conditions exist: 1) Are there bridges considered critical to the survival of major communities, or 2) to the security and defense of the US? If so, insert a requirement for the operation importance factor to be equal to 1.05 in RFP. (SDG 2.10.B & FDM 121.9.6)	District Structures Design Engineer

Structures Design Guidelines 2.11 Vessel Collision	S.11 Does the new bridge or major widening cross a navigational waterway? Set input parameters for site: i.e. importance factor, water velocities, etc. and allow each D/B Team to modify pier spacing to determine pier strength requirements within these fixed parameters. See SDG 2.11. A "Major Widening" is defined as a bridge widening that at least doubles the total number of traffic lanes or the bridge deck area.	District Structures Design Engineer
	S.12 Is there a minor bridge widening spanning a navigable waterway that requires Vessel Collision design? If so, provide requirements in the RFP. (SDG 2.11.5) A "Minor Widening" is defined as a bridge widening that that does not double the total number of traffic lanes or the bridge deck area.	
	S.13 Does bridge cross a navigational waterway? If so, specify the minimum main span length in the RFP based on a vessel impact assessment, requirements of permitting agencies or aesthetic requirement whichever controls? (SDG 2.11.7)	
• Structures Design Guidelines • 3.3 Foundation Scour Design	S.14 Are there temporary structures located within the waterway or potential temporary structures that may be located within the waterway that must consider scour effects in the design? If so, provide requirements to design temporary structures for XX year storm event in the RFP. (SDG 3.3.C)	District Structures Design Engineer
• Structures Design Guidelines • 3.5 Driven Piles	S.15 Are there new bridges or bridges to be widened within the project limits that have substructure components located in a body of water that is classified as extremely aggressive, but not due to chlorides? If so, determine if piles smaller than 24 inches should be allowed. If so, provide specific requirements in the RFP. (SDG 3.5.1.F)	District Materials Engineer District Structures Design Engineer

Structures Design Guidelines3.11 Pier, Column, and Footing Design	S.16 If bottom of footing elevations is set a minimum of 1 foot below MLW or NLW, will tides consistently expose piles for extended periods? If so, specify a lower maximum footing elevation in the RFP to eliminate exposure of piles. (SDG 3.11.2.C.1)	District Structures Design Engineer
	S.17 If the D/B Team chooses to use submerged footings should a minimum clearance between MLW or NLW and the top of the footing be specified based on the type of boat traffic using the waterway? (SDG 3.11.2.C.3)	
 Structures Design Guidelines 3.12 Retaining Wall Types 	S.18 Is there a reason partial height walls such as toe walls or perched walls should not be allowed in a particular portion of the project due to difficulty in mowing, history of poor grass growth and/or incidence of slope erosion? If so, provide limitations in the RFP regarding partial height walls including limits of restrictions.(See Figure 3.12-1)	District Structures Design Engineer District Maintenance Engineer
Structures Design Guidelines3.12 Retaining Wall Types	S.19 Are there reasons to prohibit GRS walls or abutments in any portions of the project? If so, provide detailed limitations in the RFP. (SDG 3.12.7 & SDG 3.13.4)	District Structures Design EngineerDistrict Geotechnical EngineerState Structures Design Engineer
Structures Design Guidelines3.14 Fender Systems	S.20 Does bridge cross a navigational waterway? Is a fender system required? If so, obtain U.S. Coast Guard (USCG) concurrence and include requirements in the RFP. (SDG 3.14.1.B)	District Structures Design Engineer EMO District Permit Coordinator
	S.21 Determine whether Standard Plans Index 471-030 is allowed based on vessel traffic of the site. If Standard Plans Index 471-030 is not allowed, state restrictions in the RFP. (SDG 3.14.2.D)	
Structures Design Guidelines3.14 Fender Systems	S.22 Include requirements in the RFP for Navigation Lighting and Clearance Gauge Details. (SDG 3.14.2.F.1)	 District Structures Design Engineer District Structures Maintenance Engineer
	S.23 Include requirements in RFP for Access Ladders, Platforms, and Catwalks if a fender system is required. (SDG 3.14.2.G)	

• Structures Design Guidelines • 4.2 Deck Slabs	S.24 Does the project involve a major or minor widening? Determine whether the widened deck surface should meet profilograph requirements? If so, require that a minimum deck thickness of 8½-inches and specify that the design of the widened deck be in accordance with 4.2.2.A. (SDG 4.2.2.C)	District Structures Design Engineer
 Structures Design Guidelines 5 Superstructure - Steel	S.25 Are there steel structures located in very harsh environments that may require a special coating system to enhance durability? If so, include requirements for potential steel superstructures in the RFP. (SDG 5.1.1.B & SDG 5.12.1)	 District Structures Design Engineer District Structures Maintenance Engineer
	S.26 Are there steel structures located in a harsh environment that may benefit from box girders over I-girders to enhance durability? Include restrictions for steel superstructures in the RFP. (SDG 5.1.1.C).	
	S.27 Is corrosion of structural bolts likely to be a prominent maintenance issue to consider? Check with the District Maintenance Engineer to see if this is a problem. If so, provide a requirement for all structural bolts to be mechanically galvanized in accordance with the specifications. (SDG 5.12.2.A)	
	S.28 Is the use of weathering steel prohibited by site conditions or aesthetic considerations? If so, include requirements for coating system in RFP. (SDG 1.3.2.E, SDG 5.3.1.A, & SDG 5.12.A)	
	S.29 Is welding required during rehabilitation or widening of an existing structure? If the type of existing base metal is not known, contact the State materials Office for recommendations on how the welding should be specified. (SDG 5.11.2.C)	

Structures Design Guidelines	S.30 Does the project involve bridge widenings? If so, investigate	District Structures Design Engineer
• 6.4 Expansion Joints	the type and condition of all existing expansion joints and	District Structures Maintenance
	include all scope of work requirements related to repairing	Engineer
	existing joints in the RFP including specifying joint removal	
	and replacement and deck spall repair limits. (SDG 6.4.3 thru	
	SDG 6.4.5)	
	S.31 Are there bridge widenings with existing proprietary joints	
	that are no longer available? If so, specify replacement of the	
	proprietary joint with a finger joint that accommodates the	
	same calculated movement in the RFP. (SDG 6.4.5.B)	

• Structures Design Guidelines	S.32 Are there existing bridge rails within the project limits that do • District Structure •	ctures Design Engineer
• 6.7 Traffic Railing	not meet the criteria for new or existing railings per SDG	
	6.7? If so, either obtain Design Variation or include RFP	
	requirements to replace or retrofit railings. (SDG 6.7.1.C,	
	SDG 6.7.4.A.2, SDG 6.7.4.A.3, and SDG 6.7.7)	
	S.33 Are there existing bridges within the project limits that are	
	listed or eligible to be listed in the National Register of	
	Historic Places? If so, contact the District Structures Design	
	Engineer to determine traffic railing requirements to be	
	included in the RFP. (SDG 6.7.5)	
	S.34 Is a TL-5 or TL-6 barrier required within the limits of the	
	project? If so, include limits in RFP. (SDG 6.7.6)	
	S.35 Are there existing substandard bridge traffic railings where an	
	upgrade would degrade rather than improve bridge safety? If	
	so, contact the District Structures Design Engineer about a	
	possible Design Variation and include requirements in the	
	RFP. (SDG 6.7.7)	
	S.36 Will Non-FDOT standard, new or modified traffic railings be	
	used? If so, obtain approval from the SDO early in the	
	process of developing the project scope.	

 Structures Design Guidelines 7 Widening and Rehabilitation 	S.37 Does the project include bridges to be widened? Verify that all bridges to be widened have been load rated in accordance with the Structures Manual prior to finalizing the RFP. Acquire and include all necessary Design Exceptions and Design Variances related to design capacity of existing bridges to remain. Include scope of work in RFP for any strengthening that may be necessary. (SDG 7.1.1 & SDG 7.6.E) For steel bridges, indicate whether field welding will be permitted and include requirements. (SDG 5.11.2.C & SDG 7.6.H.5)	District Structures Maintenance Engineer District Structures Design Engineer
	S.38 For existing bridges to be widened or bridges within the project limits to remain, are any maintenance repairs or strengthening required based on bridge inspection reports and load ratings? (SDG 7.1.1.A) If so, include requirements in the RFP.	
 Structures Design Guidelines 7 Widening and Rehabilitation	S.39 Are there existing bridges to remain or to be widened within the project limits that have asphalt overlays? Are the existing overlay thicknesses larger than was assumed in the original design? If so, insert a requirement that the asphalt overlay thickness is to be reduced or removed. (SDG 7.3.5.A)	District Structures Design Engineer
 Structures Design Guidelines 7.3 Analysis and Design	S.40 Widening an Existing Post-tensioned Bridge. When widening an existing post-tensioned bridge which has bonded (grouted) tendons with a new section of bridge which will have unbonded tendons (tendons with flexible filler), include special requirements in the RFP. (SDG 7.3.7.H)	District Structures Design Engineer
 Structures Design Guidelines 7.6 Widening Rules 	S.41 Should bridge widenings match existing superstructure types (in-kind or similar)? If so, include requirement in the RFP. (SDG 7.6.A) Should bridge widenings match existing substructure (in-kind or similar)? If so, include requirement in the RFP. Are there existing voided slab bridges to be widened within the project limits? If so, provide special requirements in the RFP. (SDG 7.6.C)	District Structures Design Engineer

Structures Design Guidelines 7.6 Widening Rules	S.42 Are there existing bridges to be widened within the project limits that have existing vertical clearances less than 16'-6", or where the widened portion will likely have vertical clearances less than 16'-6"? Are there existing bridges within the project limits that have vertical clearances less than 16'-6" that are to remain? If so, obtain the required exceptions or variations and include vertical clearance requirements. In the case of a bridge widening, include vertical clearance requirements of the widened bridge in the RFP based on structure depth and cross slope limitations or include RFP requirements that the bridge is to be raised or the underlying road lowered to meet the 16'-6" vertical clearance requirement. S.43 If there are existing steel I-girder bridges to be widened within the project limits, include RFP requirements for field welding to compression flanges of existing girders. (SDG	District Structures Maintenance Engineer District Structures Design Engineer
Structures Design Guidelines7.7 Deck Grooving	7.6.H.5) S.44 Does the project include bridges to be widened? If so, include requirements for bridge deck finish in the RFP. (SDG 7.7)	District Structures Design Engineer

Structures Design Guidelines	S.45 Does the project scope include the rehabilitation of bascule	District Structures Maintenance
Movable Bridges	bridge spans? If so:	Engineer
• 8.1 General	 include all structural rehabilitation requirements in 	District Structures Design Engineer
	the RFP. Include all electrical/mechanical	State Structures Design Engineer
	rehabilitation requirements not covered in SDG	
	Chapter 8 in the RFP. (SDG 8.1.1.A)	
	 Include whether a two leaf configuration is required 	
	or whether a single leaf configuration is acceptable.	
	(SDG 8.1.1.A)	
	 Include leaf configurations, electrical systems, 	
	mechanical systems, and operational requirements in	
	the RFP that provide favorable life cycle cost	
	benefits, can be safely operated, and easily	
	maintained by Department's forces and that	
	minimize disruptions to the traveling public. (SDG	
	8.1.1.B)	
	o Include mechanical drive and control system	
	redundancy requirements as necessary in the RFP.	
	(SDG 8.1.2.A)	
	o Determine whether the span would be small enough	
	to allow the use of sleeve bearings and include	
. Standards Davida Caridalinas	requirements in the RFP. (SDG 8.1.3.A.2)	District Chrystynes Maintenance
• Structures Design Guidelines Movable Bridges	S.46 Specify Horizontal clearance as required by the United States Coast Guard (USCG), Florida Inland Navigation District, and	District Structures Maintenance Engineer
• 8.1 General	the Army Corps of Engineers. (SDG 8.1.5)	Engineer • District Structures Design Engineer
- 6.1 General	the Army Corps of Engineers. (SDO 8.1.3)	State Structures Design Engineer State Structures Design Engineer
	S.47 Specify any additional functional checkout tests that will be	- State Structures Design Engineer
	required for the project. (SDG 8.1.11)	
	required for the project. (SDO 6.1.11)	

 Structures Design Guidelines Movable Bridges 8.3 Construction Specifications and Design Calculations 	 S.48 Does the project involve the design and construction of a new bascule bridge span? If so, attach the latest bascule bridge boilerplate "Technical Special Provisions" to the RFP. Contact the State Structures Design Office for Guidance. District Structures Maintenance Engineer District Structures Design Engineer
	S.49 Confirm with the District Structures Maintenance Engineer if the frames and glazing must meet the ballistic standards of UL 752, Level 2 (.357 magnum). (SDG 8.9.5.C Commentary)
 Structures Design Guidelines 10 Pedestrian Bridges	 S.50 Do the pedestrian bridges on the project require unpainted weathering steel, galvanizing, or if a painting system is required, determine whether an Inorganic Zinc Coating System or a High Performance Coating System is preferred? (SDG 10.6.D) District Structures Design Engineer
 Structures Design Guidelines 10 Pedestrian Bridges	S.51 Does the project include a new boardwalk and are the non- structural components of the boardwalk required to be plastic lumber? If so, include requirements in the RFP. (SDG 10.6)
Structures Detailing Manual 2.2 Structures Identification Numbers	S.52 Acquire identification numbers for bridges, overhead signs, high-mast light poles and traffic signal mast arms and denote them in the RFP only if the RFP does not allow the DB Firm to change the number of bridges and miscellaneous structures. (SDM 2.2.B) • District Structures Maintenance Engineer
Structures Detailing Manual 4.4 Concrete Surface Finishes	 S.53 Are there bridges or retaining walls that require Class 5 Applied Finish Coating/Tints or Stains? If so, include Class 5 Applied Finish Coating/Tints or Stains requirements and limits in the RFP as required. (SDM 4.4.A) District Structures Design Engineer District Maintenance Engineer
	S.54 Is an anti-graffiti coating required? Coordinate with District Maintenance Office to see whether to specify a sacrificial or permanent coating system? Specify type and limits in the RFP. (SDM 4.4.B)

 Structures Detailing Manual 11.3 Foundation Layout Design Considerations 	S.55 Are there critical existing utilities within the project limits? If so, identify and show location in the Concept Plans using Vvh (verified vertical elevation and horizontal location) and refer to them in the RFP. Coordinate with the District Utility Engineer for determining which utilities are considered critical. (SDM 11.3.D)	District Utility Engineer
 Structures Detailing Manual 12.6 Design Considerations End Bent 	S.56 Are there requirements for attaching a utility to a structure in the future? If so, include requirements in the RFP. (SDM 12.6.D)	
 Structures Detailing Manual 12.7 Design Considerations Intermediate Bent 		District Structures Design Engineer District Drainage Engineer
 Structures Detailing Manual 18.2 Ramps and Handrails – Grades Greater Than 5% 	S.58 Should galvanized steel railing be used in lieu of aluminum pipe railing? If so, include requirements in the RFP. (SDM 18.2.F.3)	District Structures Design Engineer
 Structures Detailing Manual 19.6.1 Future Widenings 	S.59 Does the project have any twin bridges where retaining walls are used in the median between the bridges? If so, consider whether piles and/or end bents should, in lieu of the casing option depicted in SDM 19.6.1.B, be constructed in the median to accommodate future widening and include requirements in the RFP. (SDM 19.6.1.B)	District Structures Design Engineer
	S.60 Are there roadways that are supported by MSE walls where future widening is likely in the near future? If so, consider placing the vertical and horizontal limits of the wall at these locations to accommodate the future widening and include requirements in the RFP. (SDM 19.6.1.C)	
		District Geotechnical Engineer District Structures Design Engineer
	S.62 Is the site prone to soil set-up? Should the soil set-up section be included in the RFP that allows for some soil set-up without requiring every pile to be set-checked?	District Geotechnical Engineer

S.63	Is each bridge superstructure to be constructed of the same material? Will steel spans be allowed in combination with	District Structures Design Engineer
S.64	concrete spans? Include requirements in the RFP. Should the structure depth of the fascia girders for all bridges be held constant without steps? Are there exceptions? Include requirements in the RFP.	District Structures Design Engineer
S.65	For aesthetic reasons, will some of the 3rd and 4th level ramp structures within an interchange be required to be box girders? Are there other bridges within the project requiring specific structure types? Include requirements in the RFP.	District Structures Design Engineer
	Are there specific aesthetic requirements for the bridges and/or walls? Depending on flexibility of the project based on public commitments, provide sketches that outline rigid requirements, or give general level of aesthetic, and guidelines to allow flexibility? Sketches should cover all anticipated pier types and shapes for the project. Specifying Aesthetic Level One, Two or Three is not sufficient. At a minimum define specific textures, colors, and shapes for the various wall and bridge elements.	District Structures Design Engineer
S.67	Are there existing steel bridges to be painted? If so, contact the District Maintenance/State Materials Office to determine painting system requirements based on a compatible assessment of the existing painting system. Include specific requirements in the RFP.	District Structures Maintenance Engineer/State Structural Material Systems Engineer
S.68	Should all bridge drainage piping be hidden from view? If so, include requirements in the RFP.	District Structures Design Engineer
	Should retaining walls/bulkheads have a concrete facing? Should all bulkhead or permanent sheet pile walls have a concrete cap? Are exposed steel wales allowed? If so, include requirements in the RFP.	District Structures Design Engineer
S.70	Are utility attachments required on the bridge? Include requirements and specify whether utilities are to be hidden from view.	District Structures Design EngineerDistrict Utilities Engineer

	S.71 Does project include replacing or rehabilitating an existing bascule bridge where traffic is to be maintained on existing structure during construction? Include all bascule bridge maintenance and operation requirements in the RFP.	District Structures Design Engineer
	S.72 Are there special inspection access requirements such as maximum bridge width or spacing between parallel bridges associated with accommodating snooper access? Include requirements in the RFP.	District Structures Maintenance Engineer
	S.73 For new bridges to be constructed alongside an existing bridge to remain, should the new substructure components be aligned with the existing substructure components? Include requirements in the RFP.	District Structures Design Engineer
 Structures Design Guidelines 6.7 Traffic Railing	S.74 How many conduits are required in the bridge traffic railings? Include requirements for each bridge in the RFP	District Structures Design EngineerDistrict Utility Engineer

• FDM 104, Public Involvement	R.1	Are there project commitments or community issues that have been identified? Are there Community Awareness Plan guidelines to be implemented? Include requirements in the RFP	Project Manager
 FDOT Airspace Obstruction Brochure¹ FAA Circular 70/7460-2K, "Proposed Construction or Alteration of Objects That May Affect the Navigable Airspace" FDM 110.5.1 	R.2	For bridges near airports, will construction be affected by temporary glide path ceiling restrictions? Will any permanent structures such as high mast lighting be prohibited due to permanent glide path ceiling restrictions? Define restrictions and include all airport, local government, and FAA coordination requirements in the RFP.	District Structures Design Engineer
• FDM 114 Resurfacing, Restoration and Rehabilitation (RRR)	R.3	Is the project a RRR project? If so, include criteria based on FDM 114 and appropriate FDM Design Criteria, Part 2.	District Design Engineer
• FDM 121.9 Bridge Feasibility Assessment/Structures Concept Plans	R.4	Specify aesthetic and wildlife connectivity requirements in the RFP, if any.	District Structures Design Engineer
• FDM 122.2 Design Exceptions and Variations Identification	R.5	Are there design variations or exceptions required associated with the Concept Plans? If so, provide all necessary Design Exceptions and Design Variations as an attachment to the RFP.	District Design Engineer
 General 3rd Party Commitments FDM 127 Community Aesthetic Features 	R.6	Are there third-party commitments that need to be included in the RFP? If so, reference all commitments in the RFP as requirements. See Project Commitments Record Form No. 700-011-035, and PD&E documents.	District Design Engineer
• FDM Context Classification • FDM 200 Context Based Design	R.7	What is the context classification of each road potentially impacted by this project? List the context classifications in the RFP.	State Complete Streets Program Manager
FDM 201.2 Traffic and Design YearFDM 201.4 Design Speed	R.8	At a minimum, provide the following project specific traffic information as part of the RFP:	•

_

 $^{^1} http://www.florida-aviation-\ database.com/dotsite/pdfs/2007_Air\ space_Brochure.pdf$

	 AADT for the current year, opening year (completion of construction) and design year, 	
	 Existing hourly traffic volumes over minimum of 24-hour period, including peak hour turning movements and pedestrian counts, Directional distribution factor (D), Standard K factor (K), Truck factors (T) for daily and peak hour, Design speed and proposed posted speed, Design vehicle for geometric design, Turning movements and diagrams for existing and 	
	 proposed signalized intersections, Special or unique traffic conditions, including during construction, Crash history, including analyses at high crash locations within the project limits, and Recommendations regarding parking or other traffic restrictions. 	
• FDM 201.3 Access Management	R.9 Are there any special access management commitments including driveway locations or modifications, etc? If so, include requirements in the RFP.	District Traffic Operations Engineer
• FDM 201.4 Design Speed	R.10 Has the design speed been approved by the District Design Engineer and the District Traffic Operations Engineer? Include requirements in the RFP.	District Design EngineerDistrict Traffic Operations Engineer
• FDM 201.5 Design Vehicle	R.11 Have design vehicle requirements been determined? Include requirements in the RFP	District Design Engineer
• FDM 210.1 General	R.12 Is this a RRR project? If so, provide specific RRR criteria in accordance with FDM 114.	District Design Engineer
	R.13 Does the project include an SIS or Emergency SIS Highway Intermodal Connector on the local System? If so, specify in the RFP whether FDM SIS criteria will be used, or if the Florida Green Book will be allowed.	

• FDM 210.2.4.2 and 211.2.3 Hydroplaning Risk Analysis	R.14 For projects containing nonstandard Pavement Cross Slopes (Figure 210.21), has the District Drainage Engineer determined whether a hydroplaning analysis is a requirement of the RFP? If so, include requirements in the RFP.	 District Drainage Design Engineer District Quality Assurance Administrator
• FDM 210.3 Median • FDM 210.2 Lanes	R.15 If there are high speed urban and/or suburban arterial highways on the project, include requirements in the RFP for minimum median width and left turn lanes	District Design Engineer
• FDM 210.5.1 High-Speed Curbed Roadways	R.16 Does the project include high-speed urban or suburban arterial Highways? Include any special criteria or guidance needed in the RFP.	District Design Engineer
• FDM Table 211.3.1 Minimum Median Widths	R.17 Does the facility have the ability to be expanded in the future? Have you accommodated for future expansion in the RFP? If so, include requirements in the RFP.	District Design Engineer
• FDM 211.3.2.1 Existing Crossovers	R.18 Does the project include limited access facilities with median crossovers for emergency vehicles? Include a statement in the RFP that the crossover locations shall be at specific milepost locations, as shown in the Concept Plans or in an approved ATC.	District Design Engineer
 FDM 211.3.3 Express Lanes Separation FDOT Express Lanes Manual (FELM) 	R.19 Does the limited access facilities include express lanes with wide buffer separation? Include any special criteria or guidance needed for the wide buffer separation in the RFP.	District Design Engineer
• FDM Table 211.4.5 Emergency Refuge Areas	R.20 Does the RFP include information pertaining to Emergency Refuge Areas? Consideration of Emergency Refuge Areas must be coordinated with Traffic Operations, Maintenance and Law Enforcement. For Express Lane projects, Toll Operations should be included in coordination. If coordination has occurred, include Emergency Refuge Area requirements and criteria in the RFP.	District Design Engineer
• FDM 211.6 Border Width	R.21 Does the project include limited access roadway facilities? If so, specify fencing, wall, or barrier – type, location, and height limits.	District Design Engineer

• FDM 213.1.1 Roundabout Evaluation	R.22 Have roundabout alternatives been evaluated for the project? Include requirements in the RFP	District Design Engineer
• FDM 214.3 Driveway Horizontal Geometry	R.23 Are small radial returns needed on Connection Category B driveways associated with the Concept Plans? If so, include requirements in the RFP.	District Design Engineer Operations/Maintenance Engineer
	R.24 Are any driveways anticipated to have an angle of driveway less than 60 degrees for Connection Category A driveways? If so, include requirements in the RFP.	
• FDM 214.3.2 Driveway Width	R.25 Are driveway widths that exceed the maximum driveway width values shown in the Concept Plans? If so, include requirements in the RFP.	District Design Engineer
• FDM 214.4.1 Driveway Profile on Curbed Roadways	R.26 Are any existing commercial driveways expected to be reconstructed with a grade greater than 10%? If so, provide the following in the RFP: O Documentation that an adverse roadway operational or safety impact would not result from the proposed grade O Approval by District Design Engineer	District Design Engineer
• FDM 215.2.6 Roadside Slope Criteria	R.27 Do you anticipate embankment slopes steeper than 1:3? If so, include all acceptable erosion control measures in the RFP.	District Maintenance EngineerDistrict Landscape Architect
• FDM 220.1.3 Railroads - Required Coordination	R.28 If applicable, has the railroad coordination noted in this section occurred and requirements/criteria placed in the RFP? This includes early coordination with Central Office, the District Rail Coordinator, the District Traffic Operation Engineer, Central Office Freight and Multimodal Operations Office, the District Specifications Engineer, and the Central Specifications Office.	District Rail Coordinator
• FDM 221 Utilities	R.29 Are there existing or proposed utilities within the project limits that require new or modified subordination agreements? If so, determine all easement or subordination agreement requirements and include in the RFP.	 District Utilities Administrator Utility Accommodation Manual

TT-1 (444 A 4 A 4 A 4 A 4 A 4 A 4 A 4 A 4 A		
• FDM 222.2.1 Sidewalks	R.30 Is the project located in or within one mile of an urban area?	• District Pedestrian and Bicycle
	If so, specify if sidewalks are to be provided along one or	Coordinator
	both sides of roadways.	District Design Engineer
• FDM 222.2.1 Sidewalk	R.31 Are there existing or proposed pedestrian, bicycle or public	District Bicycle and Pedestrian
• FDM 223.2.1 Bicycle Lanes	transit facilities adjacent to or within the project limits? If so,	Coordinator
• FDM 224.1.2 Considerations	specify the width and separation from the roadway for	District Modal Development Office
• FDM 224.4 Widths	sidewalks and shared use paths and location and type of	Coordinators
	public transit facilities in the RFP.	
• FDM 222.4 Pedestrian Drop-off	R.32 Does the project include Pedestrian/Bicycle Railing and does	District Pedestrian and Bicycle
Hazards and Railings	a Local Agency want a painted or special railing, other than	Coordinator
	the standard galvanized steel or aluminum? If so, include the	District Design Engineer
	requirements in the RFP.	
• FDM 222.2.8 Public Transit	R.33 Is the project within the operational limits of a local transit	District Pedestrian and Bicycle
Loading Zones	agency service area? If so, include requirements in the RFP	Coordinator
	so that access to transit services by pedestrians and bicyclists	District Design Engineer
	is provided.	
• FDM 223.2.1.4 Green Color	R.34 Are there bike lanes included as part of the project? If so,	District Pedestrian and Bicycle
Bicycle Lanes	determine if green bike lanes are required. Provide	Coordinator
	requirements in the RFP.	District Design Engineer
• FDM 224.8 Vertical Clearance	R.35 Is a vertical clearance greater than 10 feet needed to	District Pedestrian and Bicycle
	accommodate equestrians, maintenance vehicles, or	Coordinator
	emergency vehicles; is it needed for underpasses or tunnels;	District Design Engineer
	or is it part of the SUN Trail? If so, include the requirements	
	in the RFP.	
• FDM 225.2 Boarding and	R.36 Are boarding and alighting areas needed at bus stops? If so,	District Design Engineer
Alighting Areas	include the requirements in the RFP.	
	1	1

• FDM 228.2 Landscape Design	R.37 Does the project include landscaping? If so, specify the	District Landscape Architect
Requirements	following requirements in the RFP as applicable:	District Environmental Management
	 Change the characteristics of the roadway corridor 	Office
	to encourage lower operating speeds.	District Maintenance
	o Protect, conserve, complement, and enhance natural	
	roadside vegetation, scenic resources, and natural	
	features.	
	 Screen unfavorable views. 	
	 Reduce stormwater runoff. 	
	 Sequester carbon. 	
	 Create high quality transportation facilities and 	
	travel experiences that create value for residents and	
	Florida's tourism sector.	
	 Provide shade and comfort for pedestrians, 	
	bicyclists, and transit riders.	
	 Mitigate heat-island effect. 	
	 Support community efforts for economic 	
	development, urban revitalizations, and aesthetic	
	enhancements.	
	 Relocate existing vegetation. 	
	 Selectively clear and thin existing vegetation. 	
	 Provide time and space for natural regeneration and 	
	succession of native plants.	
	 Reforest with native trees. 	
	 Select Florida-native plants with known provenance 	
	(original source of plants stock) as close to planting	
	site as possible.	
	 Select and place plants to minimize impacts to 	
	natural areas.	
	 Select and place plants to minimize the need to 	
	maintain uniform height and spacing to sustain	
	design intent.	

• FDM 228.4 Landscape	Select recycled and recyclable materials. Select a diverse mix of plants. A rule of thumb is that the most sustainable landscapes have an uneven aged mix of no more than 10 percent of the same species, 20 percent of the same genus, and 30 percent of the same family. R.38 Is the proposed landscape compatible with the maintaining	District Design Engineer
Maintenance Plan	agency's maintenance resources, abilities, and practices? Include any special requirements of the maintaining agencies in the RFP.	District Landscape Architect District Maintenance
• FDM 229.1 General	R.39 Are there any areas that have been identified for selective clearing and grubbing, tree protection, or plant preservation in other project development phases? If so, include requirements in the RFP.	District Design EngineerDistrict Landscape ArchitectDistrict Environmental Manager
• FDM 230.2.7 Delineators Object Markers and Express Lane Markers	R.40 Does the project require delineators? Include any special criteria or guidance needed in the RFP.	District Maintenance Engineer
• FDM 230.3.1 Selection of Pavement Marking Material Selection	R.41 Does the project include existing permanent pavement markings to be replaced? If so, determine if any of the existing pavement marking needs to be removed and specify refurbishment thermoplastic or other pavement marking material and whether black paint for contrast is needed. (FDM 230.3.1.1)	 District Maintenance Engineer District Construction Engineer
	R.42 Does the project include existing permanent pavement markings to be refurbished? If so, determine if any of the existing pavement marking needs to be removed and specify durable paint or other pavement marking material and whether black paint for contrast is needed. (FDM 230.3.1.5)	
• FDM 232.4 Controller Assemblies	R.43 Are there intersections within the project limits where future expansion is anticipated? If so, provide any special requirements in the RFP to accommodate future expansion.	District Traffic Operations Engineer

• FDM 232.8.1 Mast Arm Policy	R.44 Is it impractical to support signals on galvanized mast arms within the 10-mile coastline boundary? Specify use of two-point span wire assembly with adjustable hangers in the RFP and include an approved Design Variation. If the Local Maintaining Agency prefers mast arms outside the 10-mile coastline boundary or prefers paint over galvanizing, include requirements in the RFP.	District Design Engineer District Traffic Operations Engineer
 FDM 240.1 General FDM 240.3 TMP Considerations 	 R.45 Are there roads on the project under the jurisdiction of a local agency? Include any special requirements in the RFP the local agencies may have. R.46 Specify public relations activities such as media releases, television and radio spots, or handbills in the RFP 	District Design Engineer
• FDM 240.4.2.13 Highway Lighting	R.47 Will temporary lighting be required? If so, include requirements in RFP.	District Design Engineer
• FDM 240.9.6.3 Coordination, Documentation and Payment	R.48 Does the project require a Speed and Law Enforcement Officer? If so, provide requirement in the RFP.	District Construction Office
• FDM 250.2 Scour Calculations	R.49 Does the project include a minor bridge widening? If so, assess adequacy of existing structure and include strengthening requirements as required in the RFP.	District Drainage Engineer

• FDM 260.8.1 Vertical Clearance	R.50 Does the project include a possible concrete bridge over water where the environmental classification is moderately aggressive or extremely aggressive due to chloride content? If so, can the 12 ft. vertical clearance default value be met when vertical profiles, structure depths, and driveway access requirements are accounted for? If not, include requirements in the RFP.	District Structures Design Engineer District Structures Maintenance Engineer
	R.51 Does the project include a possible steel bridge over water? If so, determine the required vertical clearance based on environmental site conditions and input from the District Maintenance Engineer. If the required vertical clearance is greater than 12 ft above Mean High Water (MHW) default value, include as a requirement in RFP.	
• FDM 260.8.3 Regulatory Agency Requirements	R.52 Does the project include a bridge over a navigable waterway? Are the minimum vertical clearances listed in FDM 260.8.1 under Navigation, Items 1 thru 3 adequate to accommodate recreational vessels? If not, include vertical clearance requirements in the RFP. Also include requirements regarding submerged footings as necessary.	District Structures Design Engineer
• FDM 263.1 Geosynthetic Design, General	R.53 Is organic material or other soft soil deposits present on the project where removal is impractical? Provide guidance or requirements on alternative foundation designs in the RFP.	District Geotechnical Engineer
• FDM 265.2 Structure Type Selection	R.54 Does project include major cross-drains? If so, specify if corrugated metal structures will be prohibited in lieu of concrete box culverts. If so, are there any restrictions other than passing an environmental analysis on the use of corrugated metal structures? Provide the parameters?	 Project Manager District Drainage Engineer Project Commitments Records
• FDM 330.5 Plan Sheets	R.55 Is the Contact information included in the RFP for any Utility/Agency Owner receiving salvaged utility infrastructure?	District Utilities Engineer

Drainage Manual, 2013 Edition	D.1	Are portions of R/W, including areas for future ditches and ponds, planned to be used for future widening? If so, provide restrictions on full use of R/W for design.	District Drainage Engineer
	D.2	Are existing culverts being left in place or extended? If so, inspect the culverts beforehand and identify, in the RFP, which culverts need to be repaired and which need to be replaced. For culverts that require repair, detailed repairs must be included in the RFP.	
	D.3	Are inverted siphons allowed on the project? If not, prohibit them in the RFP.	
	D.4	Are trapezoidal weirs as pond control structures (controlled pond overflow) allowed in lieu of a typical control structure using a drainage structures and pipes? If so, state in the RFP and provide criteria for design.	
• Drainage Manual Section 2.4.4 Channel Bottom	D.5	Are v-bottom ditches allowed? If so, state in RFP.	District Drainage Engineer
 Drainage Manual Section 2.5 Open Channel – Construction and Maintenance Considerations 	D.6	Are maintenance berm widths in the Drainage Manual (DM) Section 2.5 appropriate and doable to maintain ditches and ponds? If not, specify minimum berm widths in the RFP.	District Drainage Engineer
	D.7	Is increased maintenance access needed for future expansion of the facilities? If so, state in RFP.	
Drainage Manual Section 2.6.1 Open Channel – Protective Treatment	D.8	Contact maintenance to decide whether or not fencing is required and state in the RFP.	District Drainage Engineer
Drainage Manual Section 3.3 Storm Drain – Design Frequency	D.9	Do site-specific factors warrant the use of atypical design frequency for storm drain systems? If so, specify required design frequency in the RFP.	District Drainage Engineer

• Drainage Manual Section 3.5 Storm Drain – Hydrologic Analysis	D.10 If the system design is to use routed hydrographs, state so in the RFP and supersede Section 3.5.	District Drainage Engineer
• Drainage Manual Section 3.6.1 Storm Drain – Pipe Slopes	D.11 Is the terrain flat enough to allow a storm drain system velocity less than 2.5 fps? If so, cite the minimum allowable velocity in the RFP.	District Drainage Engineer
• Drainage Manual Section 3.7 Storm Drain – Protective Treatments	D.12 Is protective treatment of hydraulic openings needed for limited access areas? If so, state in RFP.	District Drainage Engineer
• Drainage Manual, Section 3.7.2 Storm Drain – Manholes	D.13 If manholes must, of necessity, be placed in the wheel path, please allow in RFP and supersede this section.	District Drainage Engineer
• Drainage Manual Section 3.10 Storm Drain – Construction and Maintenance Considerations	D.14 Are 2-piece manhole lids required on certain structures? If so, state in RFP.	District Drainage Engineer
	D.15 Are curb inlet screens required? If so, state in RFP and also require catch basin pipe connection screen in conjunction with curb inlet screens.	
• Drainage Manual Section 3.10.2 Storm Drain – Minimum	D.16 Are unique utility clearances involved? If so, state in RFP.	District Drainage Engineer
Clearances	D.17 Is unique utility conflict structure maintenance access needed? If so, state in RFP.	
	D.18 Is a 2 or 4 ft. sump needed due to expected siltation (such as near the beach)? If so, state in RFP.	
Drainage Manual Section 3.11.1 Storm Drain – MSE Walls	D.19 Are there MSE walls with internal drainage pipes on the project? Decide on the allowable layout of the storm drain system within MSE walls and include direction in the RFP. If pipes must go through MSE walls, specify that the pipe, external to the wall, should not be attached to the pipe, internal to the wall, until the MSE embankment is at full	District Drainage Engineer
	depth. This is intended to avoid excessive shear loads due to short term MSE wall settlement.	

Drainage Manual Section 3.11.2 Storm Drain – Noise Walls	 D.20 Are there restrictions on the allowable locations of French drains (ex.: large trees, potable water supply wells, near utilities, adjacent to the R/W, karst areas)? If so, include in the RFP. D.21 Are there special circumstances that warrant departure from 	District Drainage Engineer
	the French drain dimensional criteria? If so, state in RFP and override 3.11.2	
• Drainage Manual Section 3.11.3 Storm Drain – French Drains	D.22 Are resilient connectors required on certain drainage structures? If so, state in the RFP.	District Drainage Engineer
• Drainage Manual Section 4.3.1 Cross Drain Hydraulics – Design Frequency for Permanent Facilities	D.23 Do any cross drains or bridges deserve a higher or lower design frequency than in the table? If so, state in the RFP.	District Drainage Engineer
• Drainage Manual Section 4.3.2 Cross Drain Hydraulics – Design Frequency for Temporary Facilities	D.24 Do any of the temporary facilities for cross drains or bridges deserve, due to upstream flooding issues, a higher or lower design frequency than in the table? If so, state in the RFP.	District Drainage Engineer
Drainage Manual Section 4.6 Cross Drain Hydraulics – Clearances	D.25 Are bridge widenings included that will result in a violation of the required drift clearance? If so, consider accepting the reduced drift clearance rather than rebuilding the bridge and state so in the RFP.	District Drainage Engineer
 Drainage Manual Section 4.8.2 Cross Drain Hydraulics – Tidal Crossings 	D.26 Does coastal hydraulics play a significant role in a roadway or bridge project's design? If so, require a qualified coastal engineer in the RFP.	District Drainage Engineer
 Drainage Manual Section 4.9.1 Cross Drain Hydraulics – Berms for Spill-Through Abutment Bridges 	D.27 Is a maintenance berm width different than 10 ft. required? If so, state requirement in the RFP.	District Drainage Engineer
Drainage Manual Section 4.10.4.1 Cross Drain Hydraulics – Minimum Culvert Sizes	D.28 Will future improvements affect the design of cross drains? If so, provide criteria in the RFP.	District Drainage Engineer
	D.29 Are there cross drain flows that may require more than 2 pipes? Address cross drain alternatives in the RFP?	

Drainage Manual Section 5.3.1.1 Stormwater Management - General	D.30 Are offsite inflows flowing toward the project, and might dry retention be used for water quality treatment? If so, decide on whether or not to pursue co-mingling and, if possible, resolve the matter beforehand with the Water Management District.	District Drainage Engineer
	D.31 Are joint use or regional ponds to be considered? If so, provide criteria in the RFP.	
	D.32 Provide direction, per the Drainage Manual, for the elevation at which the pond routing will commence.	
• Drainage Manual Section 5.3.1.2 Stormwater Management – Watersheds with Positive Outlets	D.33 Is the project discharging to a known flooding problem? If so, decide whether or not to invoke Rule 14-86.	District Drainage Engineer
• Drainage Manual Section 5.3.4.2 Stormwater Management – Detention and Retention Ponds	D.34 Are there unusual pond maintenance needs or is R/W too limited for typical maintenance access? If so, discuss with Maintenance and include direction in the RFP.	District Drainage Engineer
Drainage Manual Section 6.2Optional Culvert Materials - Durability	D.35 Is the amount of pipe on the project sufficiently small to warrant using soil maps rather than site specific soil testing? If so, state in RFP.	District Drainage Engineer